Historical Coincident Peak Demand and Operating Reserve

Peak Demand on Day of Year With Highest State Peak Demand (MW)

Control Area	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Day of Coincident													
Peak Demand	07/22/88	07/20/89	07/13/90	10/02/91	08/17/92	08/02/93	08/15/94	07/27/95	08/14/96	08/06/97	09/01/98	07/12/99	08/16/00
PG&E	17,216	17,150	19,278	16,642	18,392	19,607	19,118	19,746	20,699	21,484	20,511	23,104	21,196
SCE	15,616	15,632	17,115	16,709	18,413	15,590	17,892	17,435	18,205	19,084	19,935	19,122	19,272
LADWP	4,736	4,660	5,229	5,123	5,331	4,502	4,911	4,743	5,145	5,434	5,643	5,455	5,313
SDG&E	2,523	2,506	2,799	3,027	3,355	2,697	3,137	2,931	3,282	3,491	3,960	3,606	3,316
Statewide	40,091	39,948	44,421	41,501	45,491	42,396	45,058	44,855	47,331	49,493	50,049	51,287	49,097
SMUD	1,873	1,934	2,146	1,760	2,117	2,162	2,034	2,169	2,392	2,442	2,505	2,759	2,396
CAISO	35,355	35,288	39,192	36,378	40,160	37,894	40,147	40,112	42,186	44,059	44,406	45,884	43,784
Pasadena										278	292	285	275
IID									639	626	608	639	881
CFE										1,270	1,368	1,119	1,569
TOTAL										51,667	52,317	53,382	51,547

Spinning Reserve on Day of Year With Highest State Peak Demand (%)

Control Area	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Day of Non-Coin-													
cident Peak Demand	07/22/88	07/20/89	07/13/90	10/02/91	08/17/92	08/02/93	08/15/94	07/27/95	08/14/96	08/06/97	09/01/98	07/12/99	08/16/00
PG&E	7.6	7.2	7.8	5.3	7.0	9.9	6.7	7.4	8.7	6.0	5.7	3.7	1.2
SCE	8.9	9.3	6.9	10.8	6.4	7.9	7.2	5.0	3.9	5.3	5.6	3.6	1.2
LADWP	13.8	8.6	14.5	10.7	11.5	13.7	12.1	8.8	4.6	7.8	3.5	6.0	10.5
SDG&E	8.8	8.2	8.8	6.4	10.4	10.4	6.6	5.1	7.3	5.0	6.1	3.9	1.3
Statewide	8.9	8.2	8.3	8.3	7.5	9.6	7.5	6.5	6.3	5.9	5.4	3.9	2.2
SMUD	N/A												
CAISO	8.3	8.2	7.5	7.9	7.0	9.1	6.9	6.2	6.5	5.6	5.7	3.7	1.2
Pasadena				·						9.0	8.6	8.8	N/A
IID									N/A	27.0	24.1	32.4	4.9
CFE										4.6	7.6	13.1	7.1
TOTAL										6.1	5.8	4.5	2.4

Spinning reserve is defined as generating capacity which can be brought on line in less than 10 minutes.

Total Reserve on Day of Year With Highest State Peak Demand (%)

Control Area	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Day of Non-Coin-													
cident Peak Demand	07/22/88	07/20/89	07/13/90	10/02/91	08/17/92	08/02/93	08/15/94	07/27/95	08/14/96	08/06/97	09/01/98	07/12/99	08/16/00
PG&E	10.9	10.1	8.9	7.8	17.5	16.2	11.4	8.6	5.3	6.5	6.4	4.6	3.4
SCE	12.2	12.1	10.3	14.2	8.5	11.3	10.2	5.7	8.1	8.7	6.1	4.4	3.3
LADWP	19.2	10.3	22.4	12.2	23.7	28.5	23.2	8.8	7.0	11.2	8.0	12.3	16.4
SDG&E	11.9	25.7	18.2	9.4	12.3	24.8	14.2	14.8	9.9	12.2	9.3	6.8	5.0
Statewide	12.5	11.9	N/A	11.1	14.2	16.2	12.4	7.9	6.9	8.3	6.7	5.5	4.9
SMUD	N/A												
CAISO	11.5	12.1	10.2	10.9	12.9	14.8	11.1	7.8	6.9	7.9	6.5	4.7	3.5
Pasadena								19.2		37.4	16.4	16.8	N/A
IID									N/A	35.6	24.1	32.3	17.5
CFE										15.1	19.4	29.6	7.8
TOTAL										8.9	7.2	6.4	5.2

Hour of Day Peak Hit on Day of Year With Highest State Peak Demand (Hours Military Time)

Control Area	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Day of Non-Coin-													
cident Peak Demand	07/22/88	07/20/89	07/13/90	10/02/91	08/17/92	08/02/93	08/15/94	07/27/95	08/14/96	08/06/97	09/01/98	07/12/99	08/16/00
PG&E	1600	1600	1500	1600	1600	1600	1700	1700	1600	1700			
SCE	1500	1400	1400	1600	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1600			
LADWP	1400	1400	1300	1521	1509	1439	1419	1428	1426	1523	1552	1511	1525
SDG&E	1330	1530	1200	1500	1500	1430	1330	1630	1500	1500			
SMUD	1700	1800	1700	1800	1800	1700	1800	1800	1700	1800	1800	1700	N/A
CAISO											1626	1652	1517
Pasadena								N/A		1600	1600	1600	N/A
IID									N/A	1600	1600	1400	1700
CFE										2200	2100	2200	1600

Actual High Temperature on Day of Year With Highest State Peak Demand (Degrees Fahrenheit)

System/Location			•					•					
PG&E-Sacramento	103	103	107	100	101	105	100	102	104	110			
PG&E-San Jose	87	83	87	83	85	97	95	90	84	93			
PG&E-Fresno	106	101	108	91	108	107	106	106	110	106			
SCE-LA & Vicinity	93	90	98	99	99	87	98	95	96	95			
SDG&E-El Cajon	94	94	97	97	102	88	95	95	97	105			
SMUD-Sacramento	103	102	106	100	102	105	103	104	106	107	106	108	N/A
Sacramento							•				105	106	98
San Francisco											79	87	71
San Jose											97	101	86
Concord											98	103	94
Fresno											104	106	100
Los Angeles											105	90	88
Ontario											105	103	98
San Diego											83	78	78
El Cajon											100	98	92

Notes

Noncoincidence of Reported Peak Demand: This table shows a 13-year history of the noncoincident cumulative annual peak demand formost of the demand in California. The day and time of a control area is annual peak demand varies geographically. The peak demandday selected [from reported daily peak demand] for this table is the day with the highest sum of peak demand reported by the PG&E, SCE, SDG&E and LADWP control areas. The houron that day during which an individual control area is peak demand occurred could vary by control area. An individual control area is a mual peak demand may have occurred on another day.

Changes in Reporting: Prior to 1998, a voluntary pool of the PG&E, SCE, SDG &E, SMU D and LA DWP control areas reported data for their individual control areas as part of the California Utility Power System. Starting in 1998, however, the new California Independent System Operator (CA ISO), a control area comprising the previous PG &E, SCE and SDG&E control areas, assumed responsibility for much of the statewisk reporting. The CAISO began reporting daily peak demand aggregated for its control area (i.e., no longer separate lyfor PG&E, SCE and SDG &E) and began reporting for other interconnected control areas including LA DWP, IID, CFE and the City of Pasadena. SMUD has and continues to report its own data.

Filling the gaps in data sources:

To continue a time series for the PG&E, SCE and SDG&E demand areas, we rely on other sources than operations reports.

Although PG&E, SCE and SDG &E do not report their daily peak demand as control area operators any longer, they do report their amual peak demand within their distribution system areas to FERC on FERC Form 1. Other control areas report their systems annual peak demand to FERC on FERC Form 714. We used these sources, as well as contacting the companies directly, to fill indata gaps created by the charge over time in reporting methods. Where no source existed, we eestimated a value.

All estimated values are shaded.

The details are as follows: SCE and SDG&E data for 1997 through 1999 from FERC Form 1. PG&E 1997 peak demand data from PG&E. The remaining 1997 data are from FERC Form 714. All other data are from the California U tility Power System (1988-1997), the CAISO (1998-2000) or estimated.

Estimated data: Shaded peak demand data are CEC Staffestimates in place of unavailable data. Year 2000 peak demand for PG &E, SCE and SDG& Eare calculated as a pro-rated share of CASO 2000 peak demand based on his torical shares. Year 1998 peak demand for PG &E, which was not included in its FERC Form 1, is the difference between the reported CAISO peak demand and peak demand reported by SCE and SDG& Ein their FERC Forms 1.

Acronyms: CAISO is California Independent System Operator, CEC is California Energy Commission, CFE is Mexicos Comision Federal de Electricidad
FER Cis Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, IID is Imperial Irrigation D istrict, LA DWP is Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Pasadena is
Pasadena Water and Power Department, PG &E is Pacific G as and Electric, SCE is Southern California Edison, SD G&E is San Diego Gas and Electric, SMUD is
Sacramento Municipal Utility District.